

APA FELLOWS CRITERIA FOR
APA DIVISION 52 INTERNATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

1. Public recognition of the candidate as an "authority" on one or more aspects of international or cross-cultural psychology who has significantly impacted or changed the field. Evidence can take such form as:

- a. Election to Fellow in related, broad-based scientific or scholarly international societies.
- b. Selection as editor or reviewer for relevant journals, especially foreign journals.
- c. Selection as reviewer for grant-awarding agencies in areas relevant to international psychology.
- d. Election to "leadership role(s)" in major committees, officer, invited organizer, etc. for international participation.

2. Regular and frequent participation and leadership (not mere attendance) in international professional meetings. Evidence:

- a. Frequent invitations to chair international paper-reading sessions.
- b. Organizer and participant in international symposia.
- c. Reading and/or sponsoring significant papers.
- d. Citation by others of international participation.
- e. Presentation of major invited addresses.

3. Documented/measurable evidence of outstanding impact in teaching of international psychology (either by presenting psychology in other countries or teaching of international or cross-cultural psychology in the USA). Documentation might consist of:

- a. Repeated invitational presentations at major foreign universities that have led to changes in the programs or institutions.
- b. Leadership in creating unusual impacts of psychology and psychological programs in other countries -- e.g. invited visiting scholar, Fulbright Award, Chair of innovative program with impact, etc.
- c. Critical impact on students -- evidence of students (any level) who have completed PhD's in psychology and are now working in international psychology.
- d. Recognition in the form of external awards (not in-house) for teaching and/or communicating international psychology.

4. Documented evidence of an individual impact on an international community, organization or institution through research and/or application of broad general principles.

5. Leadership in Division 52 of a kind which led to its creation and contributed to its impact as a major force in psychology.

6. Applied work on cross-cultural variables which has demonstrated positive impact on clinical practice both in the USA and abroad.

7. Author or editor of a major work in the field of international psychology or of cross-cultural phenomena that has changed the field in some documented way.

8. Creation of a major (refereed) journal in cross-cultural or international psychology.

9. Publication of articles in professional journals. Great weight given to not only the quality of the publications, but documentation of the impact of the research as demonstrated by number of citations and demonstration of specific outcomes on and changes to the field of international psychology. Other criteria to be noted:

a. Content -- articles are pertinent in content to the objectives of Division 52 as stated in 1-2 above. The contribution can be in the form of a single (multi-cited) major article or a broad pattern across several articles.

b. Single vs. multiple authorship. (If one is not first author, is there some special reason?)

c. Quality of the journal. (1) Established reputation (especially foreign journals)?

d. Refereed?

e. Journal content is generally relevant to the objectives of Division 52?

f. Are articles abstracts or brief summaries, as compared to full papers?

10. Publication of papers in major non-psychological publications which reflect a national impact of work in international psychology (e.g. NY Times Magazine, Newsweek, etc.).

11. Publication of whole chapters or major sections of books having to do with international or cross-cultural psychology. Indicate if works were invited. Note citations if any and the impact criteria in 9.

12. Evidence of impact of work in international psychology via citations of all publications and/or professional presentations.

13. Publication of a film, video, computer program or test which has had a national or international impact on international psychology.

14. Personal impact on international programs. Evidence of creative and innovative application of psychological principles to programs including some concrete illustrations reflecting depth of involvement, leadership, particular creative ideas, etc.

15. Evidence that the candidate has contributed to the promotion of psychology in the social-political scene -- especially as it is related to international affairs and which enhance the image of psychology therein.